

REMARKS

Independent claims 8, 15, and 29 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ball and Starnes. As an example, claim 8 calls for an article comprising a medium for storing instructions that enable a processor-based system to difference a cached version and a current version of an Internet web page, indicate the difference between said cached and current versions, and provide a graphical user interface of a navigation bar with a subtract button image, said subtract button image selectable to toggle between indicating the difference between said cached and current versions and indicating the current version. The Examiner correctly states that Ball fails to disclose a single selectable subtract button image for toggling between two pages. It is respectfully submitted that Starnes fails to cure the deficiencies of Ball.

Quite simply, in Starnes the selection of the mode button does not cause toggling between two versions of a web page. Rather, a mode in Starnes merely indicates the way an image associated with a particular web page is transmitted from a proxy server. That is, pursuant to Starnes, an image associated with a web page may be transmitted in an accelerated form or an unaccelerated form. Acceleration merely refers to an improved response time for transmitting images associated with a particular web page. See 7:48-53; 8:42-56; 9:35-65. In particular, an accelerated image is one that has been compressed, as opposed to the original uncompressed image from a content provider. *Id.* See also 16:33-50; 17:45-60; Figures 5A and 5B. However, with respect to the web page proper the browser makes a request for a web page and receives an HTML file from the content server through the proxy server. 15:37-47; 18:24-39; Figure 4. Thereafter, the browser sends a get image request to the proxy server for images within the HTML file. 15:47-54. Once the image file is received, the image file and HTML file are displayed together. 15:59-61. Thus, only one web page originating from a content server is contemplated by Starnes.

Furthermore, in Starnes, the command bar with the mode button is received with the HTML file prior to the receipt of an image file. 18:24-39; Figure 5A. Thus, selection of the mode button does not affect the transmission of web page proper. In other words, Starnes' mode button has nothing to do with differencing two different versions of a web page or toggling between two versions of a web page. As explained above, there is only one web page with images transmitted in two different ways. Thus, the mode button in Starnes does not behave in a

manner similar to the claimed button or to Ball's DIFF link. That is, selection of Starnes' mode button does not lead to a differencing result. As such, there is no reason to change the "mode" text of Starnes to either a minus sign or "minus" in text. Likewise, there is no reason to modify Ball in view of Starnes. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that *prima facie* obviousness has not been established with respect to claim 8 or claims dependent thereon.

Under a similar analysis, it is respectfully submitted that *prima facie* obviousness has not been established with respect to claims 15 and 29 and respective dependent claims.

In view of the remarks herein, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. The Examiner's prompt action in accordance therewith is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees, including extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 20-1504 (ITL.0314US)

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 27, 2004



Rhonda L. Sheldon, Reg. No. 50,457
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100
Houston, Texas 77024
(713) 468-8880 [Phone]
(713) 468-8883 [Fax]

Customer No.: 21906